(SRI T. CHANNIAH.)

(ii) Use of loud-speakers in the vicinity; and

(iii) Presence of unconcerned people in the compound.

2. Sri S. M. Siddaiya, candidate for Division No. 36 complaining that buses were engaged to bring the voters to the booths.

3. Sri M. J. Mohamed Yakub, andidate for Division No. 29 complaining that the opposite parties took away the identification cards issued to the voters by the Municipality.

4. Sri A. N. Suryanarayana Rao.—The complaint was presented at 5-30 P.M. on the day of election stating that people voted in the wrong booth and some voted twice, etc.

5. Sri T. N. Subba Rao, Lawyer and Sri S. Gopala Sastry, candidates for Division No. 5 complaining that some voters entered from back side door of the polling booth.

6. Sri M. V. Vaggappa, candidate for Division No. 20 complaining against Sri U. L. Ramachandra Rao regarding false personation of voters, etc.

All the complaints were enquired into then and there during the rounds of the Returning Officer and also other officers of the Municipality deputed to keep in touch with the various polling booths, and suitable instructions were issued to the Polling Officers or the staff to see that the polling went on without any omission of the legal formalities and at the same time without giving scope for irregularities. Action was taken as soon as any complaint was received.

(*c*) Elections were conducted under the Mysore City Municipalities Election Rules, 1952.

(*d*) It was considered unnecessary in view of the existing rules.

The question will be examined if it is found that defects exist in the present system.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—I have no supplementaries.

Relief to the Handloom Weavers.

Q.–103. Sri G. NARAYANA GOWDA (Mulbagal—Srinivaspur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they have received financial aid from the Central Government to give relief to the hand-loom weavers in the State?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕೈಮಗ್ಗದವರಿಗೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರದಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಪರಿಹಾರ ದ್ರವ್ಯ ಎಷ್ಟು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ. 7,69,900 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮೊದಲು ಬಂದಿತ್ತು. ಈಗ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಸೇರಿದಮೇಲೆ 2,52,685 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಪರಿಹಾರ ದ್ರವ್ಯವನ್ನು ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.–We have drawn up several schemes. ಅದು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ: 35,000 ಜನ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಮ್ ವೀವರ್ಸ್ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ ಷೇರ್ ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟಲ್ ಹಾಕಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಣವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದಿಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದರಮೇಲೆ ವರ್ಕಿಂಗ್ ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟಲ್ಲಿಗೆ 3,50,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ, ಮಾರ್ಕೆಟಿಂಗ್ ಆಫ್ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಂ ಗೂಡ್ಸಿಗೆ 60,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು. ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾದವರು 2,50,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ರಿಬೇಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ಕಡಮೆ ಬೆಲೆಗೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಒಂದು ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಸ್ಯಾಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. Marketing handloom goods: Rs. 60,000; expansion of the existing research design centres: Rs. 30,000; transport facilities, marketing handloom goods: Rs. 21,000; publicity and propaganda, provision for direct supervision. ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಕೀಂಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ರೋಪ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲ, ಅದು ಬಹಳ ಬೇಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರುವಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—Yes. ಜಾಗ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ಅದು ಮಂಜೂರಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಟು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಚೆಕ್ಸ್‌ಜೈರ್ ಮಿಲ್ಸ್ ನವರು ತಮಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟಬಂದತೆ ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಚೆಕ್ಸ್‌ಜೈರ್ ಗೂಡ್ಸ್ ತಯಾರುಮಾಡಬಹುದೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Subject to the rules that are in force.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಪಂಚೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸೀರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಮಗ್ಗದವರಿಗೇ ನೇಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಮಾಡಕೂಡದೆಂದು ಪ್ರೊಹಿಬಿಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಜೀವನ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಶೇಕಡ 40 ರಷ್ಟು ಪಂಚೆಗಳ ಕೈಮಗ್ಗದವರಿಗೆ ಮೀಸಲಾಗಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ. - ಮದರಾಸು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಒಂದು ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We are guided by one policy, that is the textile policy for the whole of India.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question was taken up yesterday under Q. 270 by Sri B. T. Kemparaj and again last week the same question was answered.

Encouragement to Khadi Industry.

Q.—404. Sri S. R. NAGAPPA SETTY (Shimoga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) how many Khadi centres are there in the State, managed by Government, by District Boards and other institutions ;

(*b*) the total production of Khadi in square yards, yearwar from 1940 to 1953 June end ;

(*c*) how many square yards of khadi have been inproted to our State ;

(*d*) the action taken for the development of Khadi and Village Industries in the State ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Departmental.—

(*i*) Centres including Branches	...	10
(*ii*) District Board Centres including Branches.		12
(*iii*) Other Institutions		3
Total	...	25

(*b*) Information readily avaliable from 1943-44 is furnished below :—

Year	*Departmental Centres in Sq. yards*	*District Board Centres in Sq. yards*
1943-44	1,06,230	...
1944-45	1,10,960	...
1945-46	97,830	1,01,500
1946-47	34,880	52,750
1947-48	85,830	62,480
1948-49	57,410	57,390
1949-50	32,130	38,840
1950-51	45,380	50,540
1951-52	34,900	26,740
1952-53	49,830	38,050

(*c*) Information is not available.

(*d*) Government have constituted a Khadi Committee to deal with the development of Khadi in the State and have allotted an annual grant of Rs. 1 lakh for subsidising the Khadi activities.

The Department of Industries and Commerce has been giving special and sustained attention to the development of handicrafts and cottage industries, particularly, among the rural people, with the main objective of enabling the people engaged in the traditional industries and handicrafts to improve their skill and efficiency by studying improved methods of work, tools and designs. For this purpose, the Department has maintained at present 31 Cottage Industries' Centres and these Centres are staffed by trained and experienced Instructors who actually work upon improved tools and appliances, demonstrate and teach the process to the trainees. Sir M. Visvesvaraya's Rural Industrialization Scheme has already been introduced in three Districts and it is proposed to extend it to all the remaining Districts from next year.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನೂಚಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ 1943-44 ಮತ್ತು 44-45ನೆ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 2 ಲಕ್ಷ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಚದರ ಗಜ ಖಾದಿ ತಯಾರಾಗಿದೆ. ಅನಂತರ ಕಡಮೆ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲಸ ಅಷ್ಟು ಚುರುಕಾಗಿ ನಡೆದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.